

Full Length Research Paper

# Examining the Effects of Super Nitro Plus on Yield and Yield Components of Two Wheat Varieties with NPK Fertilizer Application

Rouhollah Rouzbeh<sup>1</sup>, Jahanfar Daneshian<sup>1,2</sup> and Hossein Aliabadi Farahani<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Islamic Azad University of Takestan branch, 10 km Quzwin-Zanjan highway, Azad University of Takestan, Faculty of Agriculture, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Seed and Plant Improvement Institute (SPII), P. O. Box: 4119, Mardabad Road, Karaj 31585, Iran.

<sup>3</sup>Islamic Azad University of Shahr-e-Qods Branch, Iran.

Accepted 7 September, 2024

To evaluate the beneficial impact of biological and chemical fertilizers application on wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) some yield characters were investigated. Our objective in this study was the interactive effects of biofertilizer (BF) and chemical fertilizer (CF) applications on: quantity yield at Iran in 2006. In this respect, the experimental unit had designed by achieved treatments in factorial on the basis completely randomized block design with four replicates. Certain factors including five levels of fertilizer (100% CF application; 75% CF and 25% BF applications; 50% CF and 50% BF applications; 25% CF and 75% BF applications and 100% BF application respectively) and two wheat cultivars (Omid and Alvand) were studied. Our final statistical analysis was indicated that in the 50% CF and 50% BF applications, yield components were significantly higher. Those such as: biological yield, seed yield, stem dry weight, ear dry weight, awn dry weight, leaf dry weight, plant height, leaf number per main stem and spikelet number per spike were higher in unites by 50% CF and 50% BF applications together cultivated. Although the wheat cultivars treatment significantly increased yield components and harvest index. However highest yield components were produced by Alvand cultivar but highest plant height was obtained by Omid cultivar. Our finding may give applicable advice to farmers for management and concern on fertilizer strategy and carefully estimate chemical fertilizer supply by biofertilizer application.

**Key words:** Biofertilizer, chemical fertilizer, biological yield, seed yield, harvest index, wheat cultivars.

## INTRODUCTION

Super nitro plus is a special compound of three bacterium with different effect on plant growth, soil boom diseases and nematode control. Bacterium active ingredients:  $10^8$  *Azospirillum* spp.  $g^{-1}$  or ml of the product. The combination different bacteria:  $10^8$  *Bacillus subtilis* per g or ml of the product. In super nitro plus produce different kinds of plant growth regulators, sidrophores, antibiotics and inhibitors for pathogenic agents and hydrogen cyanide for control of nematodes and finally increase the growth and yield of the crops. Many soil born disease agents like *Pythium*, *Fusarium*, *Rhizoctonia*, *Phytophthora*, *Sclerotinia* and *Verticillium* will be controlled by

application of super nitro plus (Sagi et al., 1988). Biofertilizers are products containing living cells of different types of microorganisms (Vessey, 2003; Chen, 2006) that have an ability to convert nutritionally important elements from unavailable to available form through biological processes (Vessey, 2003) and are known to help with expansion of the root system and better seed germination.

Biofertilizers differ from chemical and organic fertilizers in that they do not directly supply any nutrients to crops and are cultures of special bacteria and fungi. Some microorganisms have positive effects on plant growth promotion, including the Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) such as *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and several gram positive *Bacillus* spp. (Chen, 2006). The diazotrophic rhizobio-coenosis is an important biological process that plays a

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [farahani\\_1362@yahoo.com](mailto:farahani_1362@yahoo.com).

**Table 1.** The results of soil analysis.

Soil texture	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	K (mg/kg)	P (mg/kg)	N (mg/kg)	Na (Ds/m)	EC (1: 2.5)	pH	Depth of sampling
Sa.L	45	30	25	142.2	5.2	38.7	0.05	0.18	7.9	0-30 cm

major role in satisfying the nutritional requirements of the commercial medicinal plants (Deka et al., 1992). The strong and rapidly stimulating effect of fungal elicitor on plant secondary metabolism in main crops has attracted considerable attention and research efforts (Zhao et al., 2005). *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* are free-living N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria that in the rhizospheric zone have the ability to synthesize and secrete some biologically active substances that enhance root growth. They also increase germination and vigour in young plants, leading to improved crop stands (Chen, 2006).

Various *Pseudomonas* species have shown to be effective in controlling pathogenic fungi and stimulating plant growth by a variety of mechanisms, including production of siderophores, synthesis of antibiotics, production of phytohormones, enhancement of phosphate uptake by the plant, nitrogen fixation and synthesis of enzymes that regulate plant ethylene levels (Abdul-Jaleel et al., 2007). Nitrogen is the major nutrient that influences plants yield and protein concentration. When the amount of available soil N limits yield potential, additions of N fertilizers can substantially increase plants yield.

However, plants protein concentration can decrease if the amount of added N is not adequate for potential yield (Olson et al., 1976; Grant et al., 1985). Many researchers have found that late-season top-dress N additions as dry fertilizer materials were the most effective in attaining higher plants protein concentration (Fowler and Brydon, 1989; Vaughan et al., 1990; Stark and Tindall, 1992; Wuest and Cassman, 1992; Knowles et al., 1994). Good soil fertility management ensures adequate nutrient availability to plants and increases yields. High above-ground biomass yield is obviously accompanied by an active root system, which releases an array of organic compounds into the rhizosphere (Mandal et al., 2007).

It is well known that a considerable number of bacterial and fungal species possess a functional relationship and constitute a holistic system with plants. They are able to exert beneficial effects on plant growth (Vessey, 2003) and also enhance plant resistance to adverse environmental stresses, such as water and nutrient deficiency and heavy metal contamination (Wu et al., 2005). Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the super nitro plus influence on yield and yield components of two wheat cultivars under NPK fertilizer application.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted on experimental field of Research Institute of Damavand (Absard station) at Iran (25°30' N, 52°15' W;

1860 m above sea level) during 2006, with sandy loam soil (Table 1), mean annual temperature (30°C) and rainfall in the study area is distributed with an annual mean of 321 mm. The experimental unit had designed by achieved treatment in factorial on the basis completely randomized block design with four replicates.

Certain factors including five levels of fertilizer (100% CF application; 75% CF and 25% BF applications; 50% CF and 50% BF applications; 25% CF and 75% BF applications and 100% BF application respectively) and two wheat cultivars (Omid and Alvand) were studied. Also we used 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> chemical fertilizer of NPK and 1 lit ha<sup>-1</sup> biofertilizer of super nitro plus. The soil consisted of 25% clay, 30% silt and 45% sand (Table 1) and further the field was prepared in a 15 m<sup>2</sup> area (5 × 3 m) totally 40 plots.

To determined biological yield and seed yield, stem dry weight, ear dry weight, awn dry weight, leaf dry weight, plant height, leaf number per main stem and spikelet number per spike 10 plants were selected randomly from each plot at maturity and then harvest index was determined by the following formula (Aliabadi-Farahani, 2006).

$$HI = \frac{\text{Seed yield (kg/ha)}}{\text{Biological yield (kg/ha)}} \times 100$$

The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) computer software at P < 0.05 (SAS institute Cary, USA 1988).

## RESULTS

Final results of plants values showed that fertilizer levels significantly affected biological yield, seed yield, stem dry weight, ear dry weight, awn dry weight, leaf dry weight, plant height, leaf number per main stem and spikelet number per spike in p 0.01 and harvest index and tiller number were not significantly affected due to by fertilizer levels (Table 2) which indicated the highest biological yield (14206 kg/ha), seed yield (4815 kg/ha), stem dry weight (5228 kg/ha), ear dry weight (605.2 kg/ha), awn dry weight (27.5 kg/ha), leaf dry weight (2476 kg/ha), plant height (104 cm), tiller number (4 tiller/plant), leaf number per main stem (7.2 leaf/main stem) and spikelet number per spike (19.1 spikelet/spike) under 50% CF and 50% BF applications and highest harvest index (34.72%) was obtained by 25% CF and 75% BF (Table 3).

Also, wheat cultivars significantly affected biological yield, seed yield, stem dry weight, ear dry weight, awn dry weight, leaf dry weight, plant height, tiller number, leaf number per main stem and spikelet number per spike in p

0.01 and harvest index was not significantly affected due to by wheat cultivars (Table 2). However highest biological yield (15080 kg/ha), seed yield (5279 kg/ha),

**Table 2.** Analysis of variance.

Sources of variation	Df	Mean squares										Harvest index
		Biological yield	Seed yield	Stem dry weight	Ear dry weight	Awn dry weight	Leaf dry weight	Plant height	Tiller number	Leaf number per main stem	Spikelet number per spike	
Replication	3	0.007	15.931 **	151.124 **	189143.313	0.005	5.414 **	0.084 **	67.521	0.445	46.788	0.102
Fertilizer	4	1.423 **	10.087 **	112.006 **	1520201.243**	0.071 **	41.633 **	0.041 **	45.688	16.016 **	211.387 **	0.032
Cultivar	1	1.515 **	11.797 **	169.053 **	1089514.354**	0.058 **	67.903 **	0.022 **	14367.854 **	19.007 **	114.37 **	0.021
Fertilizer × Cultivar	4	0.027 *	0.008	0.961	211820.317 *	0.056	0.267	0.004	78.354	2.836	14.323	0.036
Error	27	0.009	0.032	2.608	86199.201	0.003	1.541	0.002	310.654	1.299	16.201	0.031
CV (%)		6.92	4.54	6.99	8.22	2.77	9.64	8.08	7.57	7.13	7.28	8.48

\* and \*\*: Significant at 5% and 1% levels respectively.

**Table 3.** Means comparison.

Treatments		Biological yield (kg/ha)	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Stem dry weight (kg/ha)	Ear dry weight (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	Awn dry weight (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	Leaf dry weight (kg/ha)	Plant height (cm)	Tiller number (tiller/plant)	Leaf number per main stem (leaf/main stem)	Spikelet number per spike (spikelet /spike)	Harvest Index (%)
Cultivar	Omid	12433 b	4352 b	4725 b	547 b	24.8 b	2238 b	112 a	3 b	5.3 b	16.1 b	35 a
	Alvand	15080 a	5279 a	5731 a	663.5 a	30.1 a	2714 a	78 b	5 a	7.1 a	18.6 a	35.1 a
	100% CF	12803 b	4433 b	4991 ab	560.5 b	26.5 b	2207 b	102 a	3 ab	6 b	17.8 b	34.6 a
	75% CF and 25% BF	12473 b	4303 b	4830 b	546.2 b	26.6 b	2181 b	98 a	3 ab	5.9 b	17.6 b	34.4 a
Fertilizer	50% CF and 50% BF	14206 a	4815 a	5228 a	605.2 a	27.5 a	2476 a	104 a	4 a	7.2 a	19.1 a	33.9 b
	25% CF and 75% BF	12217 b	4244 b	4861 b	537.9 b	25.7 c	1977 b	87 b	3 ab	5.7 b	17 b	34.72 a
	100% BF	10555 c	3673 c	4108 c	466 c	22.6 d	1787 c	83 b	2 b	4.3 c	15.2 c	34.7 a

Means within the same column and rows and factors, followed by the same letter are not significantly difference (p < 0.05).

stem dry weight (5731 kg/ha), ear dry weight (663.5 kg/ha), awn dry weight (30.1 kg/ha), leaf dry weight (2714 kg/ha), tiller number (5 tiller/ plant), leaf number per main stem (7.1 leaf/main stem) and spikelet number per spike (18.6 spikelet/spike) were produced by Alvand cultivar but highest plant height (112 cm) was achieved by Omid cultivar (Table 3).

Interaction of the fertilizer levels and wheat cultivars had significant effect on ear dry weight in

p < 0.05 (Table 2) and highest biological yield (14643 kg/ha), seed yield (5047 kg/ha), stem dry weight (5479 kg/ha), ear dry weight (634.3 kg/ha), awn dry weight (28.8 kg/ha), leaf dry weight (2595 kg/ha), leaf number per main stem (7.2 leaf/main stem) and spikelet number per spike (18.8 spikelet/spike) were achieved under 50% CF and 50% BF applications and Alvand cultivar and highest plant height (123 cm) was obtained under 50% CF and 50% BF applications and Omid cultivar

and also, the highest harvest index (39.71%) was produced by 75% CF and 25% BF applications and Alvand cultivar (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

In this study, increases in agronomic criteria were observed following inoculation with biofertilizer. This may be due to better utilization of nutrients in

**Table 4.** Means comparison of interaction.

Survey instance qualifications		Harvest Index (%)	Spikelet number per spike (spikelet /spike)	Leaf number per main stem (leaf/main stem)	Tiller number (tiller/plant)	Plant height (cm)	Leaf dry weight (kg/ha)	Awn dry weight (g/m2)	Stem dry Weight (kg/ha)	Ear dry weight (g/m2)	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Biological yield (kg/ha)
Omid	100% CF	34.8 b	16.9 cd	4.9 c	3 cd	120 a	2222 c	25.6 c	553.7 c	4858 bcd	4392 cd	12618 d
	75% CF and 25% BF	39.7 a	16.8 d	5 c	3 cd	115 a	2209 c	25.7 c	564.6 c	4777 cd	4327 d	12453 d
	50% CF and 50% BF	34.4 b	17.6 cd	6.5 b	3.4 bc	123 a	2357 b	26.1 c	576.1 c	4976 abcd	4583 ab	13319 c
	25% CF and 75% BF	34.8 b	16.5 d	4.8 c	3 cd	102 b	2107 c	25.7 d	542.4 c	4793 d	4298 d	12325 d
	100% BF	34.8 b	15.6 e	4 d	2 d	96 bc	2012 d	23.7 e	506.5 d	4416 e	4012 e	11494 e
	100% CF	34.8 b	18.2 b	6.4 b	4 a	83 de	2460 b	28.3 b	612 ab	5361 ab	4856 ab	13941 b
Alvand	75% CF and 25% BF	39.71 a	18.1 b	6.4 b	4 a	79 def	2447 b	28.2 b	604.8 ab	5280 abcd	4791 abc	13776 bc
	50% CF and 50% BF	34.4 b	18.8 a	7.2 a	4 a	86 cd	2595 a	28.8 a	634.3 a	5479 a	5047 a	14643 a
	25% CF and 75% BF	34.8 b	17.8 bc	4.7 c	4 a	72 ef	2345 c	27.9 b	600.7 b	5296 abc	4761 bc	13648 bc
	100% BF	34.8 a	16.9 cd	4.2 c	3.8 ab	69 f	2250 c	26.3 c	564.7 c	4919 d	4476 d	12718 d

Means within the same column and rows and factors, followed by the same letter are not significantly difference ( $p < 0.05$ ).

the soil through inoculation of efficient micro-organisms. A positive effect of biofertilizer on yield and yield components has been reported in the literature (Migahed et al., 2004). In addition, higher dry matter production by the inoculated plant might be because of the augmented uptake of N, P and K which in turn was a consequence of the root proliferation. Also, the increased growth parameters in hyssop might be due to the production of growth hormones by the bacteria (Ratti et al., 2001).

The results showed that 50% CF and 50% BF applications and Alvand cultivar increased yield and yield components of wheat, because nitrogen of chemical fertilizer, which is a primary constituent of proteins, is extremely susceptible to loss when considering that average recovery rates fall in the range of 20 to 50% for dry matter production systems in plants. Nitrogenous fertilizers generally cause deficiency of potassium, increased

carbohydrate storage and reduced proteins, alteration in amino acid balance and consequently change in the quality of proteins and are a main element in chlorophyll production. Toxic concentrations of nitrogen fertilizers cause characteristic symptoms of nitrite or nitrate toxicity in plants, particularly in the leaves. Although pre plant fertilizer applications decrease the potential for nutrient deficiencies in early stages of growth, presence of residual soil  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  (plant-available mineral N from the previous season) may pose a risk to the environment. The water of soil be salt by inordinate N application and increase its potential. Finally, the plant use high energy for absorb of salt water that be causes dry matter reduces in this condition. Therefore, dry matter reduced under application of high levels of chemical fertilizer application because injured roots and was reduced the absorption. Our results were similar to the findings of Stark and Tindall (1992);

Wuest and Cassman (1992) and Knowles et al. (1994).

## Conclusion

In general it appears that, as expected, application of biofertilizer improved yield and other plant criteria. Therefore, it appears that application of these biofertilizers could be promising in production of wheat by reduction of chemical fertilizer application. Our finding may give applicable advice to farmers for management and concern on fertilizer strategy and carefully estimate chemical fertilizer supply by biofertilizer application.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Dr. Valadabadi and Dr. Mahdavi Damghani for their field assistance and

analytical support in processing the plant samples and collecting the data reported herein.

## REFERENCES

- Abdul-Jaleel C, Manivannan P, Sankar B, Kishorekumar A, Gopi R, Somasundaram R, Panneerselvam R (2007). *Pseudomonas fluorescens* enhances biomass yield and ajmalicine production in *Catharanthus roseus* under water deficit stress. *Colloids Surf. B: Biointerf.* 60: 7–11.
- Aliabadi -Farahani H (2006). Investigation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), different levels of phosphorus and drought stress effects on quantity and quality characteristics of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). M.Sc Thesis, Department of Agriculture, Islamic Azad University of Takestan branch, Iran p. 231.
- Chen J (2006). The combined use of chemical and organic fertilizers and/or biofertilizer for crop growth and soil fertility. International Workshop on Sustained Management of the Soil-Rhizosphere System for Efficient Crop Production and Fertilizer Use. October, Thailand pp. 16-20.
- Deka BC, Bora GC, Shadeque A (1992). Effect of *Azospirillum* on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) cultivar Pusa Jawala, Haryana. *J. Hortic. Sci.* 38: 41- 46.
- Fowler DB, Brydon J (1989). No-till winter wheat production on the Canadian prairies: Timing of nitrogen fertilizers. *Agron. J.* 81: 817-825.
- Grant CA, Stobbe EH, Racz GJ (1985). The effect of fall-applied N and P fertilizer and timing of N application on yield and protein content of winter wheat grown on zero-tilled land in Manitoba. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 65: 621- 628.
- Knowles TC, Hipp BW, Graff PS, Marshall DS (1994). Timing and rate of top dress nitrogen for rainfed winter wheat. *J. Prod. Agric.* 7: 216-220.
- Mandal A, Patra AK, Singh D, Swarup A, Ebhin Masto R (2007). Effect of long-term application of manure and fertilizer on biological and biochemical activities in soil during crop development stages. *Biores. Technol.* 98: 3585-3592.
- Migahed HA, Ahmed AE, Abd El-Ghany BF (2004). Effect of different bacterial strains as biofertilizer agents on growth, production and oil of *Apium graveolense* under Calcareous soil. *J. Agric. Sci.* 12: 511-525.
- Olson RV, Swallow CW (1984). Fate of labeled nitrogen fertilizer applied to winter wheat for five years. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 48: 583-586.
- Ratti N, Kumar S, Verma HN, Gautams SP (2001). Improvement in bioavailability of tricalcium phosphate to *Cymbopogon martini* var. motia by rhizobacteria, AMF and *Azospirillum* inoculation. *Microbiol. Res.* 156: 145-149.
- Stark JC, Tindall TA (1992). Timing split applications of nitrogen for irrigated hard red spring wheat. *J. Prod. Agric.* 5: 221-226.
- Vessey JK (2003). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. *Plant Soil.* 255: 571- 586.
- Vaughan B, Westfall DG, Barbarick KA (1990). Nitrogen rate and timing effects on winter wheat grain yield, grain protein, and economics. *J. Prod. Agric.* 3: 324-328.
- Wuest SB, Cassman KG (1992). Fertilizer-nitrogen use efficiency of irrigated wheat: I. Uptake efficiency of pre-plant versus late-season application. *Agron. J.* 84:682- 688.
- Wu SC, Caob ZH, Lib ZG, Cheung KC, Wong MH (2005). Effects of biofertilizer containing N-fixers, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth: a greenhouse trial. *Geoderma.* 125: 155 -166.
- Zhao J, Lawrence T, Davis C, Verpoorte R (2005). Elicitor signal transduction leading to production of plant secondary metabolites. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 23: 283 - 333.