International Scholars Journals

African Journal of Crop Science ISSN: 2375-1231 Vol. 13 (5), pp. 001-008, May, 2025. Available online at www.internationalscholarsjournals.org © International Scholars Journals

Full Length Research Paper

Agronomic Responses of Upland Rice Varieties to Differential Water Supply in a Tropical Environment

Christopher O. Akinbile

Department of Agricultural Engineering, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.
E-mail: coakinbile@futa.edu.ng, cakinbile@yahoo.com.

Accepted 15 December, 2024

The response of rice crop to water application is of paramount importance to researchers as it helps in determining its agronomic development. The study was aimed at establishing the agronomic responses of rice crop to differential water supply. A two-year dry season experiment was conducted at the research farm of International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, IITA Ibadan, Nigeria. Two upland rice varieties (NERICA 2 and NERICA 4) were planted on a 5 × 5 m plot in a randomized complete block design with four treatments based on different water application. Agronomic parameters such as plant height, root depth, canopy shading, leaf area index, panicle and tiller configuration, biomass and grain yield in relation to crop water use were obtained and the results were subjected to statistical analysis. Maximum plant height (89.0 and 100.3 cm), maximum root depth (22.1 and 23.8 cm), panicle diameter (3.9 and 4.5 cm), panicle length (26.1 and 25.7 cm), leaf area index (LAI) 3.27 and 3.95, canopy shading (CS) 0.22 and 0.99 were obtained for both NERICA 2 and NERICA 4, respectively. Leaf width (1.3 and 1.4 cm), total tillers (14 and 12) and leaf length (36.9 and 38 cm) were also observed for the two varieties respectively. The highest total grain and biomass yields of 1.94 and 1.95 t/ha were observed in treatment A for NERICA 2 while the least values of 0.29 and 1.09 t/ha were observed in treatment D. As for NERICA 4, the highest values (1.90 and 2.27 t/ha) were from A and the least (0.38 and 2.29 t/ha) in D. The result of ANOVA showed significant differences in biomass and grain yield, LAI, CS, plant height and root depth among treatments (P > 0.05). Specific behavioural pattern to differential water application was observed in the development of agronomic parameters monitored during the experiment. This was indicative that water is a major yield - influencing factor in rice production.

Key words: Upland rice, LAI, CS, agronomic parameters, water supply.

INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa L)* constitutes one of the most important staple foods of over half of the world's population. Globally, it ranks third after wheat and maize in terms of production (Bandyopadhay and Roy, 1992). In Nigeria, rice is the sixth major crop in cultivated land area after sorghum, millet, cowpea, cassava and yam (Dauda and Dzivama, 2004; Olaleye et al., 2004). It is the only crop grown nationwide and in all agro ecological zones from Sahel to the coastal swamps. Rice could be cultivated in about 4.6 - 4.9 million ha of land in Nigeria, but the actual area under cultivation is only 1 million ha representing 22% of the total potential available area (Kehinde, 1997). Before the oil boom of the 1970s, Nigeria had been largely self sufficient in rice production with negligible imports to take care of the taste of small European population in the country. The resultant buoyant foreign exchange earnings of the country from the oil boom of 1970 - 1980 raised the general standard of living and taste, which resulted in massive importation of all kinds of manufactured goods and commodities, including rice. Local rice production was no longer encouraged and therefore national self -sufficiency declined from over 99% from 1961 to 1973 to about 23% in 1984 (Akintola, 2000). Rice importation rose from 7,000 tons in the 1960s to 657,000 tons in the 1990s (WARDA, 2003). Nigeria is the World's second largest rice importer, spending over US$300 million on rice imports annually. It imported 1.7 and 1.5 million tons in 2001 and 2002 respectively, (WARDA, 2003). This created a serious drain on Nigeria's foreign exchange reserve and also raised a big question: Why should the country continue to spend that much on rice imports when it has the capacity to become self sufficient in rice production? The answer could lie in increasing productivity using irrigation. Water is essential for rice cultivation and its supply in adequate quantity is one of the most important factors in rice production. In Asia and other parts of the world, rice crop suffers either from too little water (drought) or too much (flooding or submergence). Most studies on constraints to high rice yield shows that water is the main factor for yield gaps and yield variability from experiment stations to farm (Papademetriou, 2001). Irrigated agriculture is the dominant use of water, accounting for about 80% of global and 86% of developing countries water consumption as at 1995 (Rosegrant et al., 2002). By 2025, global population will likely increase to 7.9 billion, more than 80% of whom will live in developing countries and 58% in rapidly growing urban areas (IWMI, 2000). About 250 million ha, representing 17% of global agricultural land, is irrigated worldwide today, nearly five times more than at the beginning of the 20th century. This contributes about 40% of the global production of cereal crops. Irrigated rice was responsible for about 75% of the world's total rice production. Irregular water application often leads to a high amount of surface runoff, seepage and percolation which accounts for about 50 - 80% of the total water input into the field (Guerra et al., 1998) . Therefore, the water crisis being experienced today is not about having too little water to satisfy our needs especially in agriculture but a crisis of proper management (Akinbile, 2009). The objective of this study therefore, is to investigate the effect of this important factor of production on the agronomy of rice crop under variable supply in Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out at the farmyard of the International Institute of Topical Agriculture (IITA) Ibadan, the Oyo State capital, Nigeria. It is located between latitude 3° 54'E and 7° 30' N, at elevation of 200 m above the mean sea level. It has an annual rainfall range of between 1300 and 2000 mm while its rainfall distribution pattern is bimodal. The annual mean temperature is 27.2°C during dry season and 25.6°C during the rainy season. The soil class is *Oxic paleustaff* which belongs to Egbeda Series and is described as Alfisol (Apomu Sandy loam). The vegetation is humid rain forest with an average relative humidity of between 56 and 59% during the dry season and 51 - 82% during the wet season (IITA, 2002).

Field experiment were conducted for two dry seasons to ascertain the crop's water use under irrigated conditions, between November, 2005 and March, 2006 and November, 2006 to March, 2007. The experimental design was a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with four treatments. NERICA 2 and 4 were planted on all the plots and irrigation water was delivered through an overhead sprinkler systems. There were four treatments based on the level of irrigation water application. Plot A (first treatment) received water seven times continuously in one week (100%ET) and plot B (second treatment) received water six times a week (75%ET). The third treatment (plot C) received water five times a week (50%ET) and the fourth treatment (plot D) received water four times a week (25%ET). A controlled experiment to monitor the behaviour of rice on the field was carried in a lysimeter situated in a screen house located 50 m away from the field (Akinbile, 2009). Weekly measurements of plant height of rice were made using the measuring rule from two weeks after planting (that is from emergence) to maturity stage (that is fifteen weeks after planting) in order to monitor the crop growth response to the variability of water supplied. Canopy Analyzer was used in measuring Leaf Area Index (LAI) and Canopy Shading (CS) non-destructively. LAI is simply referred to as foliage orientation or density while CS is the amount of foliage present per plot. Other agronomic parameters determined include, the grain yield, grain size, leaf length and width, number of leaves panicle length, tillering ability, root depth, flowering and maturity days using convectional equipment such as weighing balance, measuring rule and vernier caliper. For grain yield, a 5 m by 5 m sub area was harvested per plot with the aid of sickles. The field weight of grain yield was corrected to 12% moisture content before storage. Results obtained during field experimentation were subjected to statistical analysis using SAS 9.1 version.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Responses with respect to root depth

The root depths of the crop throughout the entire growing season are as shown in Figure 1. The maximum root depths of 22.6 and 23.8 cm were recorded in Plot A in the 2 varieties (N2 and N4) in both the field and controlled experiment during the first trial while the lowest was recorded in Plot C in controlled (17.3 cm) and predictably in plot D, (18.1 cm) in field observations respectively. The variation in the lowest root depth may be due to differential water application towards the end of mid season stage as a result of deficit irrigation. In the observations during the first trial in 2006, the maximum and minimum root depths were in Plots A and D respectively and thus agreed with Lafitte et al. (2004 and 2007). This is a clear indication that the length of roots of rice has a direct bearing on the water application and use of water in all the treatments considered.

Reponses with respect to plant height

The heights of rice during the vegetative, ripening and maturity stages for the four treatments are given in Figure 2 and detailed graphs of mean heights and the standard deviation of each of the treatments are given in Figure 3. NERICA 2 variety had a maximum plant height of 89 cm while NERICA 4 had a height of over 100 cm (Figure 2). This is similar in values in the second experimental trial indicating reliability in the methods adopted and quantity of water applied. This agreed with the findings of Becker and Johnson (2001) and Fujii et al. (2005) which in separate instances confirmed the observations of WARDA (2006) that N2 is shorter than N4. The steady and consistent rise in the crop's height may be attributed to the quantity of irrigation water applied, which is a reflection of the glaring differences in the parameters for the different plots. There is a difference in the plant height at ripening (72 DAP) and maturity (93 DAP) stages



Figure 1. Variations in root depths versus days after planting in controlled and field experiments.



Figure 2. Plant height versus days after planting (DAP) for N2 and N4 on all the plots.

among treatments at 5% level of significance. It was evident that differences in plant height among field treatments were obvious as the controlled treatments especially at 37 DAP. At vegetative stage, the monitored soil effect was more as crop emergence was mostly soil and water dependent. At 72 DAP (ripening stage), the combined effect of soil and weather was more hence the little variation in the plant height (particularly in field experiment). At 92 DAP; the weather effect was more evident hence the pronounced variation in the mean plant height and deviation.

Responses with respect to Leaf Area Index (LAI)

Figure 4 showed the correlation between LAI and DAP in the treatment plots. The values of LAI were found to be highest in treatment A and lowest in treatment D. In the



Plant Height among Field Treatment at

37 DAP (Vegetative stage)



Plant Height among ControlledTreatment at 37 DAP (Vegetative stage)



Plant Height among Field Treatment at 72 DAP (Ripening stage)



Plant Height among Controlled Treatment at 72 DAP (Vegetative stage)



Plant Height among Field Treatment at 93 DAP

(Maturity stage)



Plant Height among Controlled Treatment at 93 DAP (Maturity stage)

Figure 3. Plant height among treatments in field and controlled experiment at different stages of growth.



Figure 4. Leaf area index (LAI) versus days after planting (DAP) of N2 and N4 in all the plots during the second experimental trial.

first trial, LAI was found to be 1.78 in N2 variety but in the second experiment, N2 has a LAI of 3.27 while N4 was measured to be 3.95 (Figure 4). These readings were observed during the mid season/ripening stage in both N2 and N4 varieties (65 to 85 DAP). However, the values were nearly equal particularly at the maturity stage. This behaviour followed the water distribution pattern which is a function of %ET of water applied, since any effect on LAI would definitely affect yield. The data confirmed that the variable pattern in water applied affected the LAI and subsequently yields in the treatment plots. The observation was also an indication of increased water application resulting in decreased crop water use since water stress had been eliminated hence the leaves orientation during the ripening stage. This also agreed with the findings of Nwadukwe and Chude (1998) and Lafitte et al. (2004, 2007) who stressed that the leaf area orientation is a function of water application. At 5% level of significance, the difference in values of LAI between the treatments was significant

Responses with respect to canopy shading (CS)

Figure 5 showed Canopy shading (CS) and its variation with days after planting (DAP) for the second trials. From it, the highest CS (0.2) was during the heading, booting, flowering and milky phases of the ripening stage that is between 60 - 79 DAP. By 105 DAP; CS has dropped to between 0.8 and 1.0 among the treatments. This was due to the fact that at maturity stage, leaves colouration has changed from lush green to brown and the canopy had collapsed in readiness for grain harvesting. This behaviour was similar to LAI as the highest CS was observed during the ripening stage; the same time LAI was maximum in all the plots. Similar observations were recorded for the first trial and in both varieties. LAI had maximum values (3.95 for N4 and 3.27 for N2) during ripening stages (65 - 85 DAP) in both varieties. This implies that increased water application increases LAI as well as CS indicating the need for irrigation scheduling at a certain stage of crop growth. It must be noted that irrigation water was increased by 100% (full ET) during the mid season/ripening stage in all the treatments and trials. This was to cater for increased metabolic activities of the crop at this stage. Similar trends in behaviour was observed and recorded for LAI vs DAP and CS vs DAP in the first trials.

Responses with respect to measured post – harvest parameters

Panicle length, panicle diameter, total tiller, grain length, width and diameter and 100 grain weight were all measured after harvesting for both experiments. The results presented in Tables 1 and 2 conform to the standard frequently quoted by the Africa Rice Institute (ARI) and West Africa Rice Development Authority (WARDA) for upland NERICA 2 and 4 rice varieties. The maximum plant height and leaf length were 89 and 37 cm, respectively. Panicle length and diameter were 26 and 3.9 cm respectively. Leaves number, width and total tillers were 11, 1.4 cm and 14 respectively. Similar



Figure 5. Canopy shading (CS) versus days after planting (DAP) for N2 and N4 in all the plots.

Table 1. Results of measured plant parameters (N2) after harvest from field experiment LSD (P > 5%).

Plots	Plant height (cm)	Root depth (cm)	No. of leaves	No. of tillers	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	Panicle diameter (cm)	Panicle length (mm)
A	88.8	22.6	11	15	36.89	1.44	3.92	26.08
B	85.6	19.2	9	13	35.94	1.30	3.76	25.50
C	88.8	19.1	11	8	32.30	1.28	4.50	25.60
D	76.4	17.2	8	10	29.46	1.24	3.34	23.84

Table 2. Results of measured plant parameters (N4) after harvest from field experiment.

Plots	Plant height (cm)	Root depth (cm)	No of leaves	No of tillers	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	Panicle diameter (cm)	Panicle length (mm)
A	100.33	23.80	12	15	37.98	1.28	4.55	24.65
B	87.33	21.51	11	12	34.97	1.43	4.10	25.97
C	86.63	20.38	12	12	30.33	1.32	3.48	24.48
D	85.75	19.78	10	12	29.25	1.35	4.03	25.75

LSD (P > 5%).

observations were recorded in Table 2 for N4 variety; maximum plant height and leaf length were 100.3 and 38 cm respectively. Panicle length and diameter were 25 and 4.5 cm respectively while leaves number, width and total tillers were 12, 1.3 cm and 12 respectively. These were indicative of definite behaviour to water application at different stages of crop development. Further increase in water application may not result in any pronounced change(s) in these parameters.

Grain and biomass yield

The values of grain yield, biomass and total yield in t/ha are given for the first trial in Table 3 and second trial in Table 4. These parameters were highest in treatment A and lowest in treatment D. The variation in the yield per treatment was due to the quantity of water received and days assigned for irrigation per plot which varied tremendously. The crop in treatment A received water, 7 days a week while treatment D received water 4 days a week. Increased irrigation water application resulted in increase in soil moisture availability for crop use since no water stress was allowed on the crop.

This is a clear indication that increased irrigation water applied does not increase crop water use but decreased water application increases crop water use. Similar observations were recorded for irrigation water applied and total ET for rice in plots C and D. This trend also affected all agronomic parameters including biomass and

Table 3. Grain yield, biomass and total yield of rice in the 2005/2006 in N2 experiment.

Plot	Grain yield (t/ha)	Biomass yield (t/ha)	Total yield (t/ha)
A	1.36	1.84	3.2
B	0.81	2.39	3.2
C	0.30	2.30	2.6
D	0.16	1.64	1.8

Table 4. Grain yield, biomass and total yield for N2 and N4 for the 2006/2007 experiment.

Treatment plots	Rice type	Grain yield (t/ha)	Biomass yield (t/ha)	Total yield (t/ha)
A	N2	1.94	1.95	3.89
	N4	1.90	2.27	4.17
B	N2	1.25	2.70	3.95
	N4	1.43	2.55	3.98
C	N2	0.66	2.15	2.81
	N4	0.91	2.22	3.13
D	N2	0.29	1.09	1.38
	N4	0.38	2.29	2.67

Table 5. Number of whiteheads in the N2 Plots at 78 DAP in the 2005/2006 trial.

Plot	Whiteheads	% Composition per plot
A	47	7.4
B	81	15.7
C	94	16.1
D	98	16.6

grain yield as there were noticeable reduction in all the plots as water use increases (Tables 3 and 4). The grain yield was highest in plot A (1.36 and 1.94 t/ha) in the two trials and steady but gradual decline was observed in all other plots (B and C). In plot D, the grain yield was minimum (0.16 and 0.29 t/ha) indicating that water has a yield-limiting influence on the rice crop. One major factor that limit the yield was the emergence of whiteheads on all the four plots during milky and flowering stages of ripening (78 DAP) in the first trial. Table 5 showed variation of the whiteheads, an indication of water deficit at that stage. The lowest was in Plot A, 7.4% while the highest expectedly was found in plot D 16.6%.This may also significantly affect the final outcome of the grain yield. This was the resultant effect of the introduction of temporal deficit irrigation towards the later part of ripening stage. The emergence of whiteheads was a clear indication that deficit irrigation during mid season stage of crop development was not healthy for an optimum growth. However, this does not imply that deficit irrigation was not possible during rice growing season but its introduction during the mid season/ripening stage will greatly affect the crop development and yield. The findings of Becker and Johnson (2001), Lafitte and Courtois (2002), Lafitte et al. (2007) agreed with the observation in yield variation as a result of differential irrigation among the treatments.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A two year field experiment to evaluate differential water application of upland NERICA rice as it relates to increased productivity was carried out at the farmyard of the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, (IITA) Ibadan, Nigeria. The choice of upland rice was informed by the fact that the irrigated upland ecology has very high potential for rice production but contributes between 10 and 15% to national production. Adopting strict water conservation measures will lead crop failure at a certain stage, indicating that the effect of water stress leads to corresponding increase in water use at certain stages such as midseason/ripening stage of crop growth and development. The emergence of whiteheads was an indication of shortfalls in water requirements at this stage. There were corresponding responses of all agronomic parameters of the rice crop observed to changes in water application, indicating the dominant effect of water to growth and development.

The recommendations are:

1. Interspecific cross hybridization of the very good traits of other local rice varieties such as Ofada, Igbimo, and Aroso with NERICA cultivars for replication and multiplication is encouraged. This is to complement farmers' efforts with their increased yield production while maintaining some of its very good African traits.
2. Research should be conducted into using modern technologies for bird scaring to reduce considerable yields of rice being lost annually. This is to ensure reliable yield data. The age long, primitive method of human bird scaring is effective only on small fields. However, the use of nets as temporary measures to prevent rice invasion by birds (small or medium fields) is suggested. Similarly, chicken wire mesh should be placed round the field to prevent rodents and grass cutters invasion. This is useful where human efforts (bird scarer) may not be enough to prevent birds from attacking rice fields.
3. Application of Alternate Wetting and Drying (AWD) technique to upland rice cultivar in Nigeria should be carried out. This is to investigate its effect on the yield and other agronomic parameters while considering water saving as one of the mitigating strategies against impact of climate change on food and agriculture productivity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful for the support of Africa Rice Centre (WARDA), Ibadan, Nigeria for providing support and other logistics for taking measurements. The study was funded by WARDA.

REFERENCES

Akinbile CO (2009). Crop Yield Responses of Upland Rice to Differential Water Application under Sprinkler Irrigation System, Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, Department of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, University of Ibadan 21: 195.

Akintola AA (2000). Increased rice production and processing in Nigeria: The way forward, in: Niger. Agric. Eng., 1(1): 10-17. SNAAP Press Limited, Enugu, Nigeria

Bandyopadhay S, Roy CN (1992). Rice Processing Technology, IBTT Publishing Co. PVT. Ltd, 66 Japath, New Delhi, India pp. 23-29.

Becker M, Johnson DE (2001).Cropping intensity effects on upland rice yield and sustainability in West Africa Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems. Kluwer Academic Publishers, the Netherlands 59: 107-117.

Dauda SM, Dzivama AU (2004). Comparative performance of a locally developed rice thresher with an imported Votex Rice Fan.

Proceedings of the 5th International Conference of the Nigerian Institution of Agricultural Engineers, Ilorin 26: 29-32.

Fujii M, Andoh C, Ishihara S (2005). Drought resistance of NERICA (New Rice for Africa) compared with *Oryza sativa* L. and millet evaluated by stomata conductance and soil water content. Proceedings of the 4th International Crop Science Congress, New Delhi, India pp. 331-338.

Guerra LC, Bhuiyan SI, Tuong TR, Barker R (1998). Producing more Rice with less Water, SWIM, Paper 5, Colombo, Sri Lanka, International Water Management Institute (IWMI) p. 21.

International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) (2002). Annual Report. http://:www.iita.org/annual_report2002.aspx.

International Water Management Institute (IWMI) (2000). Research contributions to the World Water vision, Colombo Sri Lanka, IWMI. pp. 450-452.

Kehinde JK (1997). Advances in Rice Production. In Rice Production and Processing Workshop, National Cereals Research Institute, Badeggi, Niger State 1-14.

Lafitte HR, Yongsheng G, Yan S, Li ZK (2007). Whole plant responses, key processes and adaptation to drought stress: the case of rice, J. Exp. Botany 58(2): 169-175.

Lafitte HR, Price AH, Courtois B (2004). Yield response to water deficit in an upland rice mapping population: associations among traits and genetic markers. Theo. Appl. Genet. 109: 1237-1246.

Lafitte HR, Courtois B (2002). Interpreting cultivar X environment interactions for yield in upland rice: assigning value to drought-adaptive traits, Crop Sci. 42: 1409-1420.

Nwadukwe PO, Chude VO (1998). Manipulation of the irrigation schedule of rice (*Oryza sativa L*.) as a means of maximizing water use efficiency and irrigation efficiency in the semi-arid tropics, J. Arid Environ. 40(3): 331-339.

Olaleye AO, Osiname OA, Cofie O (2004). Soil and water management for rice production in Nigeria, Proceedings of the West Africa International Conference on Hunger without frontiers, West African Society for Agricultural Engineering (WASAE), 20-24 September, Kumasi, Ghana pp. 259-265.

Papademetriou MK (2001). Issues and perspectives of rice production in Asia and the pacific Region: In Yield Gap and productivity Decline in Rice production consultation held in Rome 5-7: 39-67.

Rosegrant WM, Cai X, Cline SA (2002). World water and food to 2005: Dealing with Scarcity, International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), Washington DC pp. 3-12.

WARDA (2006). Africa Rice Center (WARDA) Annual Report 2005-2006, Providing what's needed, Cotonou, Benin p. 52.

WARDA (2003). Strategy for Rice Sector Revitalization in Nigeria, Draft for Discussion at Technical Workshop 20-21 August, 2003 IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria. pp. 23-25.

WARDA (2006). Rice Trends in sub-Saharan Africa, Second edition, WARDA Bouake p. 10.